# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY PCT

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference C04150	FOR FURTHER ACTION	see Form PCT/ISA/220 as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.				
International application No. PCT/AU2004/001651	International filing date (day/mont) 25 November 2004	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)  26 November 2003				
Applicant	25 14070111001 2004	20 1\0\chinoc1 2003				
RESMED LIMITED et al						
	This international search report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.					
This international search report consists of a t	otal of 6 sheets.					
It is also accompanied by a copy	y of each prior art document cited in	this report.				
1. Basis of the report						
a. With regard to the language, the inter it was filed, unless otherwise indicated		ne basis of the international application in the language in which				
The international search Authority (Rule 23.1(b)		ranslation of the international application furnished to this				
b. With regard to any nucleotide a	ind/or amino acid sequence disclose	ed in the international application, see Box No. I.				
2. Certain claims were found uns	searchable (See Box No. II).;					
3. X Unity of invention is lacking (S	See Box No. III).					
4. With regard to the title,						
X the text is approved as submitted	d by the applicant.					
the text has been established by	this Authority to read as follows:					
*						
5. With regard to the abstract,						
the text is approved as submittee	d by the applicant.					
		hority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within it, submit comments to this Authority.				
6. With regard to the <b>drawings</b> ,						
a. the figure of the <b>drawings</b> to be publi	shed with the abstract is Figure No.	5				
X as suggested by the ap	plicant.					
as selected by this Aut	chority, because the applicant failed to	o suggest a figure.				
as selected by this Aut	thority, because this figure better cha	racterizes the invention.				
b. none of the figures is to be publ	ished with the abstract.					

International application No.

	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This internat reasons:	ional search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following
1.	Claims Nos.:
	because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2.	Claims Nos.:
	because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
2 🗆	
	Claims Nos.:  Decause they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a)
Box No. III	Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
First inv Second	tional Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: rention Claims 1-8, 17-22, 41-48, 53-58, 63-70 invention Claims 9-16, 23-40, 49-52, 59-62, 71-85, 90-97, 102-111 vention Claims 86-89, 98-101 a sheet
	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. X	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all
2. X 4 3. A	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.  As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite
2. X 4 3. A	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.  As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report
2. X 4 3. A	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.  As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report
2. X 4 3.	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.  As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report
2. X 4 3.	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.  As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
2. X 4 3.	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.  As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite bayment of any additional fee.  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

International application No.

Box No. IV	Text of the Abstract	Continuation of item	5 of the first sheet)

A method and appar is computed that is t value (52). Using a phase of the current loop gain increases target than for error takes into account the	the difference between servo loop, air is of breathing cycle, a with the magnitude signals above the	veen a function of delivered to the pand a loop gain that the of the error sign ventilation target	f respiratory airf atient at a presso at varies depended al, and the gain value. The targ	flow (54) over a pure that is a function on the magnitude is greater for error	eriod of time and a ion of the error sign rude of the error sign or signals below a v	target nal, the gnal. The ventilation
						ı
-						

International application No.

A.	CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER				
Int. Cl. 7:	A61M 16/00				
According to 1	According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC				
В.	FIELDS SEARCHED				
Minimum docu	mentation searched (classification system followed by classification)	ssification symbols)			
	Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched				
	base consulted during the international search (name of data of the consulted during the international search (name of data).				
C.	DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	8			
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appro	opriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
X	US 6,532,957 B2 (BERTHON-JONES) 18 M column 10 lines 54 to 58, column 12 lines 10		9-16, 23-40, 49-52, 59-62, 71-79, 82-84, 90-92, 95-97, 102-111		
X	WO 2001/019440 A1 (RESMED LTD) 22 M Abstract	arch 2001	9, 12, 13, 16, 23, 26, 27, 35, 49, 59, 71, 77, 82, 90, 95,		
A	EP 1 086 716 A2 (SIEMENS) 28 March 2001 Abstract EP 1 346 743 A1 (WEINMANN MED) 24 Se Abstract		102, 107		
F	urther documents are listed in the continuation	of Box C X See patent family ann	ex		
* Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  "B" document published prior to the international filing date  "C" document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is cambined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family			t be considered novel document is taken to be considered to one or more other		
	Date of the actual completion of the international search  Date of mailing of the international search report				
11 January 2		1.7 JAN 2005			
AUSTRALIAN PO BOX 200, V	ng address of the ISA/AU PATENT OFFICE WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA pct@ipaustralia.gov.au (02) 6285 3929	Authorized officer  XAVIER GISZ  Telephone No: (02) 6283 2064			

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/001651

#### Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of Boxes I to VIII is not sufficient)

#### Continuation of Box No: III

The international application does not comply with the requirements of unity of invention because it does not relate to one invention or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. In coming to this conclusion the International Searching Authority has found that there are different inventions as follows:

- 1. Claims 1-8, 17-22, 41-48, 53-58, 63-70 are directed to a method for providing ventilatory assistance including the steps of computing a measure of difference between the respiratory airflow and a target value, and delivering air to a patient at a pressure that is a function of the difference measure and the amplitude at a determined phase. It is considered that a function of the difference measure and the amplitude at a determined phase comprises a first "special technical feature".
- 2. Claims 9-16, 23-40, 49-52, 59-62, 71-85, 90-97, 102-111 are directed to a method of providing ventilatory assistance including the steps of computing a measure of difference between the respiratory airflow and a target value and delivering air at a pressure that is a function of the difference measure. It is considered that a function of the difference measure comprises a second special technical feature.
- 3. Claims 86-89, 98-101 are directed to a method of providing ventilatory assistance including the steps of determining two patient ventilation measures with different speeds of response, deriving two error signals that are a function of target values, controlling the delivered pressure based on a function of the error signals.

These groups are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept, that is, they do not have any common inventive features, which define a contribution over the prior art. The common concept linking together these groups of claims is a method of providing ventilatory assistance including the step of computing the difference between the ventilation of the patient and a target value. However this concept is not novel in the light of WO 2001/019440. Therefore these claims lack unity *a posteriori*.

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/001651

This Annex lists the known "A" publication level patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent Document Cited in Search Report		Patent Family Member					
US	6532957	AU	37625/97	AU	41933/97	AU	53961/01
		CA	2263126	- CA	2266454	CA	2298547
		CA	2298553	CA	2478809	EP	0929336
		EP	0996358	EP	1005829	EP	1005830
		EP	1277435	JP	2001037880	JP	2001046503
		JР	2002315831	US	6152129	US	6279569
		US	6484719	US	6575163	US	2002023644
		US	2002069874	US	2002148466	US	2003079750
		US	2004074492	US	2004173212	WO	9806449
		WO	9812965				
WO	0119440	AU	74997/00	EP	1229956		
EP	1086716	JP	2001112868	US	6739336		
EP	1346743	DE	10212497				

Due to data integration issues this family listing may not include 10 digit Australian applications filed since May 2001.

END OF ANNEX

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the: INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	• 4		
То:	PCT		
Halford & Co. No 1 Market Street	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE		
SYDNEY NSW 2000	INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
	(PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 1 7 JAN 2005		
Applicant's or agent's file reference C04150	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
International application No.	e (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/AU2004/001651 25 November 2004			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classific Int. Cl. <sup>7</sup> A61M 16/00	ation and IPC		
Applicant			
RESMED LIMITED et al			
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following it	ems:		
X Box No. I Basis of the opinion			
Box No. II Priority			
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
X Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention			
X   Box No. V   Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
Box No. VI Certain documents cited			
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application			
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application			
2. FURTHER ACTION			
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.			
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.			
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.			
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU	Authorized Officer		
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE			
PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au	XAVIER GISZ		
Facsimile No. (02) 6283 2064  Telephone No. (02) 6283 2064			

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

Box	No. I	Basis of the opinion
1.		to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in its filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	the fo	opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into llowing language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of ational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		I to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the rention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of	material
	<u> </u>	sequence listing
	لـــا	able(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format	of material
		n written format
	ш	a computer readable form
		filing/furnishing
		ontained in the international application as filed.  Iled together with the international application in computer readable form.
		urnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	filed	ition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additional	comments:
		÷ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		*
	3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
1 In re	esponse to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has:
	paid additional fees
	paid additional fees under protest
,	not paid additional fees
	Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the icant to pay additional fees.
3. This Author	ority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
com	plied with
X not	complied with for the following reasons:
one invention	nal application does not comply with the requirements of unity of invention because it does not relate to or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. In coming to this International Searching Authority has found that there are different inventions as follows:
the ste deliver determ	is 1-8, 17-22, 41-48, 53-58, 63-70 are directed to a method for providing ventilatory assistance including ps of computing a measure of difference between the respiratory airflow and a target value, and ring air to a patient at a pressure that is a function of the difference measure and the amplitude at a nined phase. It is considered that a function of the difference measure and the amplitude at a determined comprises a first "special technical feature".
assista value a	s 9-16, 23-40, 49-52, 59-62, 71-85, 90-97, 102-111 are directed to a method of providing ventilatory nee including the steps of computing a measure of difference between the respiratory airflow and a target and delivering air at a pressure that is a function of the difference measure. It is considered that a on of the difference measure comprises a second special technical feature.
determ are a fi	s 86-89, 98-101 are directed to a method of providing ventilatory assistance including the steps of ining two patient ventilation measures with different speeds of response, deriving two error signals that unction of target values, controlling the delivered pressure based on a function of the error signals. It is ered that deriving two error signal comprises a third special technical feature.
inventive feature of claims is a reventilation of t	are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept, that is, they do not have any common res, which define a contribution over the prior art. The common concept linking together these groups nethod of providing ventilatory assistance including the step of computing the difference between the he patient and a target value. However this concept is not novel in the light of WO 2001/019440. The claims lack unity a posteriori.
4. Comment	
	ly, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
X all p	
the j	parts relating to claims Nos.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/001651

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial
	applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

#### 1. Statement

Novelty (N) **YES** Claims 1-8, 11, 15-22, 30, 33, 38-48, 51-58, 62-70, 74-76, 79-81, 84-89, 92-94, 97-101, 104, 109 Claims 9, 10, 12-14, 23-29, 31, 32, 34-37, 49, 50, 59-61, 71-73, 77, NO 78, 82, 83, 90, 91, 95, 96, 102, 103, 105-108, 110, 111 Inventive step (IS) Claims 1-8, 17-22, 41-48, 53-58, 63-70, 80-81, 85-89, 93, 94, 98-YES NO Claims 9-16, 23-40, 49-52, 59-62, 71-79, 82-84, 90-92, 95-97, 102-111 Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-111 YES Claims NO

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

The following documents identified in the International Search Report have been considered for the purposes of this report:

D1....US 6,532,957 D2....WO 2001/019440

Novelty (N) Claims 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 23-29, 31, 32, 34-37, 49, 50, 59-61, 71-73, 77, 78, 82, 83, 90, 91, 95, 96, 102, 103, 105-108, 110, 111

Claims 9, 12, 13, 16, 23, 26, 27, 35, 49, 59, 71, 77, 82, 90, 95, 102, 107: D1 discloses a ventilatory apparatus comprising the steps of a computing the difference between a target and actual ventilation and delivering air to the patient at a pressure that is a function of the difference measure (column 10 lines 54 to 58). The difference measure is a clipped integral of the difference between the target respiratory value ( $V_{TGT}$ ) and the current respiratory flow (V). The apparatus is controlled by a servo loop (19).

Similarly D2 discloses these features.

Claims 10, 14, 24, 25, 28, 29, 36, 37, 50, 60, 61, 64, 72, 73, 78, 83, 91, 96, 103, 105, 106, 108, 110, 111: D1 discloses the ventilatory assistance is less when the respiratory flows are above the target value than when the respiratory flows is below the target value (column 10 lines 54 to 58 and column 12 lines 10 to 24). The gain increases with the magnitude of the difference.

Claim 31, 32: D1 disclose the phase of the breathing cycle is determined by measuring the respiratory airflow and the rate of change of the airflow (column 3 lines 22 to 32). The determination is made with fuzzy logic rules (Column 9 lines 42 to 69).

Inventive Step (IS) Claims 9-16, 23-40, 49-52, 59-62, 71-79, 82-84, 90-92, 95-97, 102-111

Claims 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 23-29, 31, 32, 34-37, 49, 50, 59-61, 71-73, 77, 78, 82, 83, 90, 91, 95, 96, 102, 103, 105-108, 110, 111 also lack an inventive step for the reasons given above.

Claims 11, 15, 30, 34, 38, 40, 51, 74, 76, 79, 84, 92, 97, 104, 109: The feature of the target is an alveolar ventilation value taking into account the patient's dead space is not disclosed in the citations, however it would be considered a mere workshop improvement to a PSA in light of D1 to take this value into account and consequently lacks inventive step.

Claim 33, 39, 52, 62, 75: Although the citations do not disclose the pressure of delivered air to be delivered in an amount so as to reduce the error signal, this would be considered a mere workshop improvement to a PSA in light of D1 and consequently lacks inventive step.